British Nationalist

JULY 1983 12p

FOR RACE AND NATION

BNP

SMASH BRITAIN'S RED COUNCILS!

OUTPOST OF THE SOVIET UNION
London's County Hall (above), headquarters of the Greater London Council, has now come under the control of councillors so red that London may be regarded as an outpost of the Soviet Empire.

THE BRITISH PEOPLE have become sickeningly familiar with the sight of city, borough and county councils all over the country being taken over and controlled by extreme left-wing factions of the Labour Party – so extreme in fact that to term those councils as 'Soviets' would be no exaggeration.

Best known of all is the Greater London Council, led by far-left exhibitionist and friend of the IRA Ken Livingstone. Also included are several London borough councils, notably Islington, Lambeth, Brent, Camden, Hackney and Southwark. In the provinces there is the City Council of Sheffield and the County Council of South Yorkshire, Walsall and Sandwell Borough Councils and many more.

On all these councils the pattern of behaviour of the councillors is the same. Once settled in their seats – which of course they have obtained by the 'democratic' process of election – they proceed to dispense ratepayers' money in financing all manner of crazy organisations and projects, all of course approved by the far left of politics, which have nothing whatever to do with the upkeep or improvement of the public amenities for which councils are supposed to be responsible and for which the people's money is supposed to pay.

Last month we published on our inside pages a list of some of the wild and wayout groups that have received generous subsidies from the GLC. That list should be studied by all, for it shows an appalling misuse of public money on the part of Ken Livingstone and his 'Soviet' of red councillors.

It will straightaway be seen that the GLC is concerned to support and promote certain things in particular – and any study of the hand-outs by other city, borough and county councils of similar political orientation will reveal the same.

UNDERMINING THE POLICE

First there are organisations whose aim it is to undermine the functions of the police, and thereby to render all the more difficult the upkeep of law and order in the country. This kind of activity is especially favoured in areas where there are large concentrations of Coloured immigrants and their offspring. Our far left councils depend on, and receive, large numbers of Coloured votes. To keep these votes, their councillors must be seen extending favours to Coloureds at every opportunity. The police of course are unpopular with large sections of the Coloured immigrant community and therefore undermining the police is a good vote-winner. On the list that we published it can be seen that £30,000 is being dished out to a 'police monitoring group' in Waltham Forest and a further £15,000 to the 'Colin Roach Family Support Committee', which, as Londoners will know, aims to lumber the Stoke Newington police division with responsibility for the death of Colin Roach, a deranged Black who shot himself in the local police station.

Coloured immigrants come in for financial favours in a number of other different ways. A Black group only has to be formed and to announce that it wants to organise Black 'recreation' or promote Black 'culture' and it is sure of getting a grant from the local council, particularly if the council is left-wing. However, groups that have been founded for the promotion of White culture and for organising recreation for young White people have appealed to their local councils for grants in vain.

SUPPORT OF PERVERTS

Also highly popular with left-wing councils is sexual perversion. Practically any group whose aim it is to look after the interests of homosexuals or lesbians and to promote their loathsome way of life is favourably considered for council grants. Two such groups on the GLC list are receiving £4,500 and £870 respectively.

It is the same with the cause of Irish Republicanism – which almost always is connected with acts of

Contd. on back page

LONDON'S RED COMMISSAR

Ken Livingstone (pictured right) is leader of the Greater London Council. He is so far to the left of his own Labour Party that he is an embarrassment even to his own leader, the very left-wing Michael Foot. He has earned public notoriety by hob-nobbing with the allies of the IRA.

British
Nationalist

No. 29 JULY 1983

Editor: Ronald Rickcord
Contributing Editor:
Charles Parker

HERE TO STAY

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY'S achievement in fielding 54 candidates in a General Election within 14 months of its formation was an astonishing feat that fully realised the main objectives of making our party better known and attracting new recruits to our ranks.

In achieving these aims the election was an immense success for which all members deserve congratulation. It was a combined effort in which everyone played a vital part. We do not have space to mention outstanding individuals by name, but we must say a special word of thanks to the five Regional Election Officers who did such a splendid job in galvanising support for the campaign.

However, there is one person who must be named – our Party Leader, John Tyndall. There can be little doubt that the fact that the BNP is firmly established on the political map is largely due to Mr. Tyndall's sheer tenacity, his unyielding dedication to British Nationalism, and his inspired leadership which is an example to us all.

We must not allow the fact that our candidates' share of the vote was small compared with the votes obtained by the Establishment parties undermine our morale. In view of the minimal time and space allocated by the media to our party, it is a wonder that we got as many votes as we did; but what is a far more important outcome of the campaign is that we have succeeded in constructing a launching pad from which we will be able to contest future elections far more effectively.

Mrs. Thatcher's large majority means that barring accidents the next election is a long way off. We must therefore make good use of the intervening time to consolidate our position and to bring our policies to the attention of the British people. Now is not the time for relaxing our efforts.

The Establishment parties will do their utmost to snuff us out. To this end they are already proposing to raise candidates' deposits in an attempt to ensure that Parliament remains a nice cosy club that is exclusively for their own use. Let these champions of "democracy" clearly understand that whatever obstacles they place in our way, they will never undermine our determination or the rightness of our cause.

In this election we have successfully raised the flag of British Nationalism in our country. The British National Party has arrived and is here to stay. Let our opponents remember that.

Ken's ambition

"Mr. Livingstone makes no secret of courting the Irish and Black groups which form a large part of the electorate in Brent East."
– An article in *The Daily Telegraph* about Ken Livingstone's hopes to be selected as a parliamentary candidate

More evidence of

PRESS DISTORTION

OUR EDITOR reports the latest episode in his battle with the *Evening Standard*

IN OUR last issue we reproduced a cartoon by JAK of *The Standard* purporting to show two Whites committing a highly publicised bank robbery during which a policeman was shot. The robbery was actually carried out by two Blacks.

As we reported, our Editor, Ronald Rickcord, wrote to the Editor of *The Standard* complaining about the way the cartoon distorted the truth as well as maligning the white people of Britain. Mr. Rickcord subsequently

BN *Editor Ronald Rickcord*

received the following letter from *The Standard's* Managing Editor:

> Dear Mr. Rickcord,
> Without risking being in contempt, JAK could obviously in no way identify two alleged robbers. Since it was clearly a **second** set of robbers (one gang had already gone up the M4 in a lorry), who is to know what colour they would have been if a second raid had taken place? Perhaps I should explain that this was a joke – not a comment.
>
> Yours sincerely,
> Jeremy Deedes.

This letter will fool no one, least of all readers of *British Nationalist*. Mr. Deedes' line of argument, besides being thoroughly dishonest, is also patently absurd. Our Editor has therefore sent the following reply to Mr. Deedes:

> Dear Mr. Deedes,
> Your argument that JAK was unable to identify the colour of the bank robbers without risking contempt seems to suggest that you regard it permissible to distort the truth in order to avoid the wrath of the courts. What if the robbers had been white? Would JAK then have portrayed them as black? I think not. In any case, if you were genuinely troubled about the possibility of being in contempt, would it not have been more sensible to have refrained from publishing the cartoon in the first place?
>
> Your second argument (that the cartoon refers to a **second** set of robbers) is ingenious but not very convincing. The milkman asks the robbers: "Did you want to M4 **again**?" This implies that the **same** robbers are carrying out a **second** robbery. Therefore they should be depicted as Blacks.
>
> Regarding your last remark that the cartoon was intended as a joke, perhaps you need to be reminded that during the robbery a young policeman was shot and only survived certain death because his teeth fortuitously stopped the bullet. That is an experience few people would regard as funny, and if it was intended as a joke it was one in very poor taste.
>
> Finally, so far as I am aware, not once in your reports about this crime did you mention the colour of the cowardly thugs who committed it. Thus by deliberately distorting the truth in the cartoon and by avoiding it in your reports, you have misled your readers. *The Standard* is fond of telling us that "Everyone needs Standards". Alas, you have lamentably fallen below the high standards that we are entitled to expect from any reputable newspaper, and in so doing you have maligned the white people of this country. An apology is called for.
>
> Yours sincerely,
> Ronald G. W. Rickcord

"Did you want the M4 again?"

The offending cartoon in the Evening Standard. *Obviously intended to relate to the Bristol bank robbery, it depicted the robbers as white, whereas in reality they were black.*

In view of the unsatisfactory nature of *The Standard's* response to his complaint, and because the paper has failed to publish an apology for distorting the truth and maligning the white people of Britain, Mr. Rickcord has reluctantly submitted a formal complaing to the Press Council. The Council has notified him that the complaint is being considered.

Nissan not wanted here

The Tories are gleefully congratulating themselves on the news that, as a result of their election victory, the Japanese motor firm Nissan is ready to give the go-ahead for the setting up of a plant in Britain making 200,000 cars a year.

We see no reason for this self-congratulation. 200,000 cars produced in Britain by this Jap company will be 200,000 cars more to compete with our own British firms – with the profits going to Japan.

If the idea is to provide more jobs for British motor workers, why can these not be provided by a **British-owned** factory being set up to make **British** cars – with profits ploughed back into this country?

NOTHING TO BE GAY ABOUT

CHARLES PARKER comments on a vile pestilence

THE B.B.C. performed an important public service in April last when it televised in its *Horizon* series a documentary entitled: "Killer in the village". The village is Greenwich Village in New York, in and around which live thousands of men in what is euphemistically termed a homosexual relationship. The killer is a mysterious disease which has been labelled AIDS – Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome or, in non-medical terms, an inexplicable collapse of the natural in-built protective system by means of which the human body is able to resist disease.

In over 1,000 men so far, the collapse of this immunity system has been followed by bizarre killer infections or rapidly spreading cancers. Hundreds have already died in a frightening, selective disease, which is spreading to other homosexual centres in the United States and possibly to London.

The appearance of this killer infection clearly emphasises the fact that this revolting perversion, which we now disgustingly camouflage by the term homosexuality, is a vile pestilence, an affront to the laws of Christianity and human decency.

LEGALISED

Yet this horrifying abomination has been legalised by the British Government and even practised by several trendy ministers of the church, while most of the remaining self-appointed guardians of our moral and spiritual welfare either studiously ignore the growing menace or seek to justify its existence.

Certain membefs of the British Judiciary have also discredited their profession by derisory treatment of particularly vicious sex offenders. A typical example was recently reported in the news media, the Common Sergeant of London, Judge David Tudor Price, allowing a monster who admitted 300 sexual offences against a young boy to go scot-free in spite of the fact that he also had 3 previous convictions of sexual offences against boys.

Unless this judge and others who have defiled the code of justice are removed from office, and the infamous homosexual bill repealed, many of us deeply concerned with the moral and spiritual degeneration of our people will be compelled to seek more positive means of protection for our children.

In conclusion, it is a bitter irony and a sad reflection on our society when thousands of women mount massive hysterical demonstrations in protest against the siting of nuclear missiles in our country, yet appear to regard the evil menace of homosexuality threatening their children as of very little significance.

It is a matter of interest that the British National Party is the *only* party – Nationalist or otherwise – which, fighting over the whole country in the General Election, was pledged to oppose the loathsome disease of homosexuality and repeal the pro-homosexual laws.

EASTERN PROMISE

A marine engineer, Mr. James Davidson, recently visiting Pakistan, spied an item in a Pakistani newspaper which he sent his father at home. The item, referring to the General Election in Britain, read:–

> "Coloured immigrants, vote Labour! They will be forced to increase the flow of coloured immigrants. Already our people have positions in the county councils, the civil service and in education.
>
> "An all-coloured political party has been formed for the day of take-over. Coloured people, you have nothing to lose except your chains. You will rule the world. Drive out the English from Britain – their last colony. You are the new coloured rulers."

It is no surprise to us that this was not given prominent publicity here.

"Last year in London alone there were 3,000 per cent more armed robberies than in 1962."
– T. A. Critchley writing in THE DAILY TELEGRAPH

NEWS ROUND-UP

THE NUMBER of legal immigrants accepted for settlement in Britain by the Home Office during the first three months of 1983 was 12,600.

★★★

THE GLC has given £13,000 to the London Gay Teenage Group to undertake research to find out how to meet the needs of people under 21 "who are gay (or think they might be)."

★★★

NICOLA FREUD, daughter of Clement Freud MP, has been charged with unlawfully supplying and possessing cocaine and cannabis.

★★★

TWO VIETNAMESE "boat people" are on trial at the Old Bailey charged with setting fire to a Soho gambling club as an act of revenge after being thrown out of the club for rowdy behaviour. Seven men died in the fire.

★★★

THE EEC's Agricultural Fund has been defrauded of £45 million between 1970 and 1981. Nearly 1,400 cases of fraud have been discovered.

★★★

THE LABOUR - CONTROLLED West Midlands County Council has allocated £200,000 to finance small businesses run by Blacks. Whites living in the area do not qualify for similar grants to start up businesses.

★★★

VISCOUNT BOYD of Merton, the 78-year-old former Tory Colonial Secretary, has been killed by a car driven by Mamoun Al-Askari, an L-driver who was unaccompanied and uninsured.

★★★

A MOB of black youths stoned police as they tried to make an arrest at a fair in Reading. Four policemen were taken to hospital with head wounds.

★★★

LEWISHAM COUNCIL has banned the use of the word "black" from its publications to avoid offending coloured people.

British
Nationalist
Special Supplement

BNP

Black population growth

THE HORRIFYING TRUTH

Helene Spencer, pictured in the centre here, is the only white child in the whole of her class at Mellor County Primary School, Leicester. Says her mother: "My daughter feels like a leper! She is surrounded by girls who talk a different language from her." Helene's situation is not unique; all over the country there are British children similarly placed. They are the victims of the creeping coloured take-over of large areas of Britain – the take-over which the politicians have encouraged.

Real figures show that the Government has been lying to the people

HOW MANY COLOURED IMMIGRANTS IN BRITAIN? For years this vital question has been a talking point among ordinary folk everywhere, yet it remains a question to which the Government and establishment of press and broadcasting have failed to provide a clear answer. A population census was conducted in 1981 by the Government-backed Office of Population Censuses and Surveys, but after much argument it was decided to exclude from this census any information about the ethnic origins of the United Kingdom's 56 million inhabitants. The census only mentions the countries of birth of those inhabitants born overseas; completely excluded are figures for non-white ethnic groups born in this country, which most people regard still as immigrants just as much as their fathers or grandfathers born abroad.

When politicians and journalists talk about immigrant numbers, it is their habit to name figures between 1¾ million and 2 million, the latter figure being the maximum number of coloureds that they will acknowledge we have in this country.

We are now in a position to know that the politicians and journalists have been LYING, and that the very minimum number of coloureds resident in Britain is ABOUT DOUBLE THE FIGURES THAT THEY CLAIM.

MINIMUM FIGURE

Information that we have compiled makes it clear that the coloured population of this country could not possibly be less than 3½ MILLION. In all probability it is more, as any glance at the streets in our major towns and cities will confirm. However, using figures provided by government agencies themselves, we are able to establish a 3½ million minimum.

Figures provided by the Office of Population Censuses and Surveys for 1981 give the number of people resident in the UK but born overseas as 3,374,000. With this figure there is breakdown into countries of birth from which we find that 1,517,000 are from the New Commonwealth and Pakistan, i.e. non-white countries. Then taking other non-white immigrants from countries not in this category, such as Chinese, Vietnamese, Arabs, etc., and adding them to the NCWP total we have a number which **at the very minimum** is 1,800,000.

At least 3½–4 million non-Whites and the number is increasing every year

Remember that this is only the number of coloureds born **outside** this country; it does not include those born in Britain itself!

To get some picture of the latter figure, we have prepared tables based on the birthrates of non-white ethnic groups, these birthrates being themselves acknowledged by Government statisticians. These statisticians have admitted that the present birthrate among non-white immigrants in the UK is 112 per 1,000, as compared with 59 per 1,000 among Whites – in other words, **the coloured birthrate is almost double** the white birthrate!

Information we have gained from official statistics extending back to the middle 1960s indicates that the coloured immigrant birthrate exceeded the white birthrate to no less an extent than it does now, particularly as then the average age of immigrants was younger and fertility therefore greater.

Because of lack of information from Government sources concerning coloured immigrant birthrates prior to the middle 1960s, we have made our own projection based upon the immigrant birthrate curve between the mid-1960s and the present. Using the method of extrapolation, we are able to establish that that birthrate was highest in the earlier years of coloured immigrant settlement in this country, i.e. the early 1950s, which is logical in view of the lower average age that we have mentioned.

We have then pitched our estimates of the pre-mid-1960s coloured immigrant birthrate **below** the figures indicated by this curve, **so as to eliminate any chance of error on our part on the side of exaggeration.**

From these figures of immigrant births, and from figures for the immigrant population during the years in question, we have been able to compile tables for the numbers of 2nd generation immigrants, i.e. children born in this country to coloured immigrant parents, as these numbers have risen from 1950 to the present. These tables have enabled us to know with reasonable accuracy how many 2nd generation immigrants there have been living in this country of each age group since 1950.

2ND. GENERATION MIGRANTS

Taking the absolute minimum number of 2nd generation immigrants indicated by these tables, **we have been able to establish that there are not less than 1,280,000 people born and living in this country whose parents were coloured and were born overseas.**

But this is not all. We have now reached the stage at which we have substantial numbers of coloured immigrants of the **3rd generation**, i.e. children born in this country to parents who themselves were also born here. This number is now increasing rapidly.

To ascertain what figure the number had reached in 1983, we consulted our tables beginning with the year 1970, which represents the point at which the offspring of immigrants who had arrived here in the early 1950s and who were born to those immigrants shortly after their arrival could themselves have started to have children. In fact for the past 13 years since the outset of the 1970s there has been a growing number of children born in Britain's maternity wards which come into this category of 3rd generation immigrants.

From known figures of 2nd generation coloured immigrants of various ages since 1970, and from minimum estimates of reproductive rates prevailing at the same times, we have been able to establish that **not less than 150,000 children have been born in this country to coloured parents who were themselves born here.**

To summarise, we have from these statistics and tables the following:–

1st generation coloured immigrants	1,800,000
2nd generation coloured immigrants	1,280,000
3rd generation coloured immigrants	150,000
	3,230,000

Now we must add to this figure of nearly 3¼ million those coloured immigrants who have entered the UK since 1981, the last year for which figures were provided in the Government census – plus those children born to them in this country. We must also add the completely unknown number of **illegal** immigrants, for which there are no figures provided at all but which are almost certain to number not less than a quarter of a million – plus children born in this country to those immigrants, a large portion of which will probably not even be registered by their parents as born.

DOUBLE GOVT. FIGURES

From these figures – figures which, as we have said, are based on deliberately conservative estimates taken from statistics provided by Government agencies themselves – we have a number of coloured inhabitants of Britain close to **double** that claimed by the defenders of successive governments' pro-immigration policies.

From this we may see something of the horrifying reality of the

Contd. on back page



INVASION IN THE MATERNITY WARDS

Close to 1½ million non-Whites have been born in Britain for certain – probably more – and this number is rapidly increasing

BLACK POPULATION GROWTH *(Contd.)*

dimensions of Britain's colour problem, a problem created needlessly by the politicians of the old parties and which blew up in those politicians' faces with the race riots of 1981.

FUTURE PROSPECT

But, however horrifying this picture is at present, it is nothing compared with the future picture, given the known rate of reproduction of the coloured immigrant races. As far back as 1978 the Government admitted that as many as 12 per-cent of the live births in Britain were to women born overseas, of which more than half were to women born in NCWP countries. Add to these the children born of non-white parents from other countries of birth and we are certain to have a figure of not less than 7 per-cent representing the children born in Britain to coloured parents born overseas. To this must be added the children born to coloured mothers who were themselves born in this country. The present number of women coming into this latter category who are now of child-bearing age is approximately 200,000 and is increasing rapidly every year. The births to these women represent about 3 per-cent of the annual total in the UK, so that when they are added to the portion previously mentioned we can see that **not less than 10 per-cent of the live births in the United Kingdom at the present time are to coloured parents.**

HALF - CASTES

We must also recognise a further fact about both the total coloured population figure **and** the figure of reproduction which we have stated: neither of these figures takes into account the half-caste children that have been born in this country, most of whom are the offspring of white mothers and coloured fathers, and who therefore will not have been included among the births recorded to women of coloured immigrant origin. When these are taken into account, it is virtually certain that they bring the non-white population of Britain up to the figure of **at least 4 MILLION.**

What this is doing to our country can be witnessed in any of the large ghetto areas of our major cities, where of course the proportion of coloureds in the population is far higher than over the UK as a whole. It can be seen in the horrific rise of violent crimes, such as mugging. It can be seen in the civil strife which tore apart over 50 British towns and cities in the Summer of 1981 and which has continued (although with less publicity) since. It can be seen in the increasing number of children of low achievement in our schools, among which even the supporters of the coloured influx admit there is an exceptionally high proportion of young Blacks.

The coloured invasion is, in short, destroying Britain. The politicians of the established parties are quite content to sit back watching this destruction and doing nothing about it – after all, the black vote is now an important factor in general elections and the politicians do not want to lose it!

We of the British National Party ARE prepared to do something to stop the destruction of our country which we have described. With your help we can eventually succeed. Don't lose another day – JOIN US AND SUPPORT US IN THIS, THE MOST IMPORTANT BATTLE FOR SURVIVAL THAT BRITAIN HAS EVER FOUGHT!

MUGGINGS

THE LATEST FIGURES for muggings in the London area released by Scotland Yard show that **63 per cent** of the attackers were reported as being coloured **22 per cent** as being white, 3 per cent as belonging to mixed gangs and 14 per cent of unidentified race.

Extra copies of this supplement can be obtained at £1.70 per 100 up to 400; £1.50 per 100 for between 500 and 900 and £1.30 per 100 for 1,000 or over. Postage should be estimated on the basis of 100 copies weighing 1kg.

WHEN BRITAIN BURNED

IN THE SUMMER OF 1981 an orgy of rioting, looting and burning broke out all over Britain, bringing chaos and destruction to large areas of over 40 towns and cities. In the major riot centres, Brixton (London), Toxteth (Liverpool) and Moss Side (Manchester) the vast majority of trouble-makers were black, while in the others Blacks were either in the majority or represented far out of proportion to their numbers in the population. The riots were **race riots**, however the establishment and press contrived to disguise them. They were living proof, demonstrated in the most frightening fashion, of the failure of the multi-racial experiment that the politicians have tried to force upon us.

The only way we can ensure their prevention is to **end the multi-racial experiment and begin repatriation and resettlement NOW!**

BNP Recordings

RALLY '82

Speeches from the BNP national rally in London on October 16th 1982, the theme of which was 'Unite and fight for Britain's future!'

Side 1: Speeches by Charles Parker and Ray Hill; **Side 2:** Speech by John Tyndall.

VOICES OF NATIONALISM

Side 1: Recordings of speeches from the Nationalist Unity rally in London on September 5th 1981. Hear John Tyndall, Ray Hill, Kenneth McKilliam, Len Bearsford Walker and others. **Side 2:** Talk by John Tyndall on 'The coming British revolution'.

TYNDALL SPEAKS I

Side 1: Talk on 'Our Anglo-Saxon heritage' (about the world-wide dispersal of the peoples of British stock); **Side 2:** Talk on 'Britain's economic crisis'.

TYNDALL SPEAKS II

Side 1: Talk on 'The case for Nationalism' (the internationalist argument demolished); **Side 2:** Talk on 'Tragedy of the 20th Century' (analysis of the results of the Second World War).

These 4 sets of recordings are presented in cassette form and are available at £3.50 each (with 17p postage) from: BNP Recordings, Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

YOUNG NATIONALIST

Read *Young Nationalist*, the paper for nationalist youth. Send 20p for sample copy to: 16 Vale Lodge, Perry Vale, London S.E.23.

SPEARHEAD

For an in-depth view of Nationalist policies read *Spearhead*, a 20 page monthly magazine which supports the British National Party. Price 50p. Annual subscription £8.00, 63p for sample copy to: *Spearhead*, PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

BUY *BRITISH NATIONALIST* IN BULK

British Nationalist retails at 12p from your local seller. Would bulk purchasers please order *only* in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies £1.20 + 33p post
25 copies £2.70 + 95p post
50 copies £4.80 + £1.67 post
100 copies £8.40 + £2.00 post
150 copies £12.60 + £2.20 post
200 copies £15.00 + £2.35 post
300 copies £21.00 + £2.50 post
400 copies £26.40 + £2.80 post
500 copies £32.00) Bulk rates
1,000 copies £62.00) by roadline

Cheques/postal orders to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *British Nationalist* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.

HORRIFIC BLACK CRIMES

HELEN LAWRENCE
Killed by mugger

HELEN LAWRENCE was 83 and crippled by back trouble. She was set about by a young Black near her home in Dalberg Road, Brixton, who punched her and wrenched her arm then flung her to the ground in the process of taking £50 from her shopping bag. From the ground she pleaded with her attacker not to leave her there, but he just laughed and kicked her in the ribs before running off with the money.

This was shortly before Christmas. A little while after Christmas Mrs. Lawrence died in hospital from her injuries.

HAYLEY COLCLOUGH
Stabbed in eye

HAYLEY COLCLOUGH was a 5-month old baby being wheeled by her mother around Amdale shopping centre in Luton. They were surrounded by a gang of black youths who threatened to injure the baby if the mother did not hand over her purse to them. At this point the police appeared and the gang fled, but not before one of them had stabbed the baby in the eye with the point of a pen.

TERRY MAY
Beaten to death

TERRY MAY was a 19-year-old on his motor cycle in Thornton Heath when he was attacked by a large gang of Blacks for no other reason than that he was white. He was beaten to death.

KELLY BROUGHTON
Cut in face

KELLY MARIE BROUGHTON was an 8-month old baby being wheeled by her mother down a street in Walworth, South London, when the two were stopped by two young Blacks who demanded the mother hand over her purse. One of the Blacks cut the baby's face with a broken bottle before the mother had a chance to do anything.

The mother then handed over two rings and a watch but this did not appease the black thug, who cut the baby again with the bottle before the two disappeared.

Blame the politicians

The facts which we have given in this supplement relating to crimes committed by certain elements among the coloured immigrant population should not be regarded as an attack by us on that population as a whole, the largest part of which is law-abiding. We do, however, consider that the disproportionate role of coloureds in certain categories of crime is relevant, both with regard to the question of crime prevention and to that of the wisdom or otherwise of attempting to integrate large numbers of people of vastly different background into the life of Britain.

We urge those who read this supplement that any anger they feel as a result of what they read should be directed, not against the general coloured immigrant community, but against the politicians responsible for its presence here.

WHAT WE STAND FOR

- The return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs.
- A government that will put British interests first.
- British withdrawal from the European Common Market.
- Closer links with the White Commonwealth.
- Protection of British industry by the selective exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain.
- A sane financial system which will give to the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce.
- The revival of British farming.
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources.
- A clamp-down on social security spongers and the restoration of the incentive to work.
- An end to immigration and a start to repatriation.
- British support of the Whites in South Africa.
- An end to financial aid to black dictatorships.
- The strengthening of Britain's defences.
- Tougher treatment of criminals.
- The smashing of the IRA, the death penalty for terrorists and firm support for the Loyalist majority in Northern Ireland.
- Repeal of the laws permitting Abortion and Homosexuality and a general toughening up of legislation against the promotion of obscenity in the media.
- The elimination of Communism in Britain, in the mass media, in the factory and in the schoolroom.

SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *British Nationalist* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £3.45 for 12 issues for subscribers in the British Isles. For subscribers overseas the rate is £4.00 for 12 issues (surface mail). Please make cheques/POs payable to *British Nationalist* and send to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

An additional charge of £2.00 is required on all foreign cheques.

Name .....

Address .....

.....

WRITE TO US

To: British National Party, PO Box 115, Hove, East Sussex BN3 3SB
Tick appropriate box

Please send me more information for which I enclose 20p. ☐

I wish to become a Full Member and enclose £6.00 (Half-rate for students and OAPs) ☐

I wish to become an Associate Member and enclose £3.00 (No half-rate) ☐

Name .....

Address .....

.....

Printed and published by BN Press, London SE23 2LS

DISTORTING HISTORY

RONALD RICKCORD reviews the award-winning film Gandhi

ALTHOUGH I am not much of a film-goer, the lavish praise that was given to the Oscar-winning film, *Gandhi*, coupled with the theatrical antics of the film's director, Sir Richard Attenborough, persuaded me that this was a film I ought to see, if only to find out what all the commotion was about.

Despite the public acclaim given to the film, I had my reservations; and on seeing it my worst fears were soon realised. *Gandhi* is a virulent piece of anti-British propaganda, which is not surprising as it was financed by the Indian Film Development Board.

Richard Attenborough, a third-rate actor who was probably best cast in the role of mass-murderer John Christie, has done the enemies of Britain proud. He has portrayed the British in India as unsympathetic and arrogant buffoons while characterising the Indians as peace-loving people, which is far from the case as the events following Britain's withdrawal from that country were to prove.

Besides grossly maligning his fellow countrymen, Attenborough has so seriously distorted historical truth that the film would be laughable were it not for the fact that the propagandising techniques he employs in it will almost certainly mislead large numbers of people who have little knowledge of the history of the Raj or of the heroic efforts of countless Britons who brought untold benefits to the people of India.

GANDHI
Half-naked Fakir

OBNOXIOUS UPSTART

By the time that the film was half way through, I was fuming with resentment that an obnoxious upstart like Attenborough, this diminutive parvenu, this jumped-up popinjay, should be allowed once again to denigrate our forebears as he did in *Oh, what a lovely war!* How much better it would be for Britain if he devoted himself to filming animals, like his brother!

Gandhi gives no indication that it was the British who brought law and order, health, education and peace to the subcontinent – benefits that have almost vanished since the British left the country 35 years ago. Nor does it mention that it was the British who put an end to such horrors as thuggism and suttee.

With exceptions like Lord Mountbatten, who made a habit of pulling down the Union Jack whenever and wherever he could, a liberal clergyman of the do-gooding type so prevalent today, and an admiral's daughter who was obviously besotted with love for the half-naked Fakir, the Britons in the film appear as blimps and nincompoops.

But quite the worst distortion in the film concerns the events that occurred at Amritsar in the Punjab on the 13th of April 1919, when a conspiracy to overthrow the Raj resulted in an insurrection which was courageously and firmly put down by Brigadier-General Reginald Dyer, who was Commanding Officer of British and Indian troops in the area. Although after a great deal of controversy at the time, the General was completely vindicated for the action he took, Attenborough's film is little more than a renewed attempt at character assassination of Dyer.

As soon as trouble erupted in the Province General Dyer proclaimed a state of emergency and made it quite clear to the populace, and particularly to the agitators, that public meetings were prohibited for the duration of the emergency. Despite the General's strict injunction, the rebels congregated in the Jallianwala Bagh, where they refused to disperse when ordered to do so, and also threatened the handful of troops under the General's command. As soon as General Dyer realised that his soldiers were at risk from the mob he immediately gave them the order to open fire, and during the ensuing mêlée some 400 Indians lost their lives.

As is usual in British politics, the man on the spot who faced almost insuperable odds and who was called upon to make an instant decision, was held responsible for what had happened in the Jallianwala Bagh by the very politicians who had formulated the policy which gave vent to the uprising. The chief villains were Edwin Montagu, Secretary of State for India, and Winston Churchill, Secretary of State for War, who even went so far as to suppress the real facts about the uprising. Between them, they aimed to destroy General Dyer's reputation, and they nearly succeeded.

GOVERNMENT'S SURVIVAL AT STAKE

In a debate in the Commons, Churchill, who knew that the Government's survival was at stake, said that in his opinion Dyer had been let off too lightly (he had been forced to retire from the Army). He went on to say: "One tremendous fact stands out. I mean the slaughter of nearly 400 persons and the wounding of probably three or four times that number . . . It is an extraordinary event, an event which stands out in singular and sinister isolation." Readers are reminded that these words were uttered by the man who was mainly responsible for the massive loss of life at Gallipoli, who later conspired with Roosevelt in provoking the Japanese to attack the US Navy at Pearl Habour, who sanctioned the blanket bombing of Dresden, and who was largely responsible for the Yalta sell out which resulted in the deaths of millions of innocent people in Eastern Europe.

Gandhi defames the British in general and General Dyer in particular and the film is very persuasive, which means that it is going to do enormous damage to our national reputation. It is all the more reprehensible because its main scapegoat, General Dyer, was an example of the very finest type of person our race has produced. I will quote just two testimonials presented to the General which give some indication of how highly he was regarded. The first is an address that was presented to him by the ladies of the Punjab:

> "We the undersigned desire to express our heartfelt gratitude for the firmness you displayed in the crisis which arose in this Province last April. We deplore the loss of life which occurred, but we believe that it was your action which saved the Punjab and thereby preserved the honour and lives of hundreds of women and children. We trust, Sir, that you will understand that we who would have suffered most had the outbreak spread, are not unmindful of what we owe to you."

The second testimonial appeared in the *Morning Post*, which launched a fund for "The Man Who Saved India". It began with the words:

> "While General Dyer saved India, the politicians are saving themselves at his expense. It is a burning reproach to the British Nation that such a thing could be possible."

The fund raised £26,000, an enormous sum for those days. But, of course, none of these matters are mentioned in Attenborough's epic distortion of history. I was so incensed as I came out of the cinema that I resolved there and then that one day I will write an article about the life of General Dyer as n y small contribution to the memory of a great and gallant officer.

Above: Attenborough, a jumped up popinjay, and (below) Brigadier General Dyer, one of the finest type of our race.

TALKING POINTS

by FRONTIERSMAN

BREAKFAST BOREDOM

One of my pet aversions is TV "chat" shows. They seem to consist of little more than the spectacle of so-called "television personalities" flattering one another publicly. Listening to the banal trivia of the likes of Anti-Nazi League supporter Michael Parkinson, or the boring David Frost, or the inarticulate

MICHAEL PARKINSON ANAL supporter

Anna Ford, who can only hold an intelligent conversation provided that she has a script in front of her, is enough to make most people feel ill at the best of times; but the thought of having their sort of garbage dished up with breakfast is more than I could possibly stomach. Evidently many other people thought so too, which explains why so few people watched TV-am, thus leading to the sacking of several "personalities". It certainly seems that the more TV there is, the more it gets out of touch with the ordinary British people whose interests it is supposed to serve.

BARBARIC RITUAL

With the influx of immigrants, another barbaric ritual has reared its ugly head in Britain – female circumcision. This savage custom, which is widely practised in Africa and Asia, is now occurring in this country and although the Department of Health says that it has no evidence that such operations have taken place under the auspices of the NHS, it was not prepared to deny that female circumcisions may have been performed. According to Lord Kennet, documentary proof exists that female circumcisions have been conducted in the London Clinic and elsewhere. In addition, at least one Harley Street gynaecologist, Dr. Sunit Ghatak, has admitted that he has performed circumcisions on women for a fee of £330, but he denied that the operations amount to full clitorectomies.

During a recent debate in the Lords, the Lord Chancellor, Lord Hailsham, said that under the 1861 Offences against the Person Act, female circumcisions were illegal except when carried out for legitimate medical reasons. He added that the penalty for illegally performing such operations could amount to life imprisonment. Despite this clear pronouncement from the Lord Chancellor, the publicity-seeking Liberal MP, Clement Freud, introduced in the last Parliament a Bill prohibiting female circumcision. In this age of supposed sexual equality it will be interesting to see if Mr. Freud, a Jew, will be so keen to introduce a similar Bill prohibiting **male** circumcision since it is, except for medical purposes, a superstitious ritual no less barbaric than female circumcision.

APING APES

Why is it that so many members of the Royal Family consider it necessary to don the apparel of backward and primitive peoples whenever they go on overseas tours? The latest example of this tomfoolery occurred when Prince Edward greeted Prince Charles and Princess Diana in New Zealand during their recent tour. For the occasion Prince Edward was ludicrously clad in the feathered regalia of a Maori chieftain of the Ngati-Awa tribe. Now I don't particularly care what the Royals get up to in private; but when they make fools of themselves in public they do us all a disservice. If they want to ape apes they should retire to the jungle.

Prince Edward as Maori chief

THOSE DIARIES!

The furore caused by the "discovery" of those forged Hitler diaries had all the ingredients of a comic opera. First, we were treated to the spectacle of that

THE CHIEF RABBI He wanted Diaries banned

academic charlatan, Lord Dacre (formerly Hugh Trevor-Roper), self-importantly proclaiming that the diaries were authentic, only to retract his claim a couple of days later. Next, the Chief Rabbi, Sir Immanuel Jakobovits, jumped on the bandwagon by loudly demanding that publication of the diaries should be banned.

As a director of Times Newspapers, who were to publish the diaries, Lord Dacre had an obvious interest in proving their authenticity; but when the dubiously acquired reputation of this leftward-leaning Establishment "historian" was being seriously questioned he suddenly got cold feet and beat a hasty retreat. As for the Chief Rabbi, he demanded the banning of the diaries in a letter to *The Times* (which is somewhat ironic and could scarcely have pleased Lord Dacre!) in which he described himself as "a human being – victim and survivor of history's most monstrous tyranny"! Sir Immanuel went on to demand that the diaries be banned whether they were genuine or not, because they would resurrect "the incarnation of evil . . ." I wonder what Sir Immanuel's views are of the monstrous tyrannies perpetrated by some of his fellow Jews – Bela Kun, Beria, Rakosi and Begin for instance? It would be interesting to know.

Badges available

At long last, after an unavoidable wait caused by suppliers, British National Party badges are now available. These badges, **which are only on sale to paid-up party members**, feature the letters BNP in red on a white background and with a blue border, thus comprising the national colours. They cost £1.50 (post-free) and can be obtained by writing to: 43 Clevedon Road, London S.E.20.

NO JUNE ISSUE

Because of the General Election imposing extra commitments on editorial staff and printers, no issue of *British Nationalist* was published for June. This will make no difference to subscribers, who will receive the 12 issues for which they have paid.

Our magazine, though it believes in racial differences, opposes race-hatred. All those who oppose multi-racialism should attack the politicians who promote it, not the immigrants, who are merely its victims.

YOUR LETTERS

Sir,–It is a strange fact that the pornography that now infests so many newsagents' shops seldom contains photographs of coloured women. Almost all the women who degrade themselves in these disgusting publications are white.

In view of the fact that pornography is largely controlled and organised by Jews who use coloured people to do most of their dirty work for them, it seems odd that so few Jewish, Asian or Negro women appear in the pages of these salacious magazines. It is even odder when one learns that some of the biggest markets for this filth are in Asia and Africa.

Although white women are generally far more attractive than coloured women, beauty is not a requirement for pornography since any female figure, whether black, brown or yellow, can be sexually titillating when exposed in lewd postures. So why is it that the vast majority of women who appear in these magazines are white?

Could it possibly be that Jews and aliens are so prominent in the pornography trade because, besides providing them with a very lucrative living, it also gives them an opportunity to degrade white women, to undermine respect for them, to encourage sexual attacks on them, to corrupt young men who read their filth, and by these means to create the conditions of debauchery and moral decay that will hasten the collapse and eventual extinction of the white race?

CHRISTINE YIANNI,
London N16.

Sir,–An interesting statement was made by Mr. Greville Janner MP, president of the Board of Deputies of British Jews, about the forged "Hitler diaries".

Whilst the speculation about the authenticity or otherwise of the diaries was at its height, Mr. Janner said that the Board was concerned that "this publication, in Hitler's own, or anyone else's words, might whitewash or diminish the criminal enormity of this wicked leader or of the influence and effect of his Nazi atrocity." From this it appears that the Board has little concern for establishing the truth. Publication of documents, whether genuine or false, should be permitted only if they have Jewish approval and conform to the Jewish conception of history.

ROBERT RHODES,
Gloucester.

General Election

MAGNIFICENT EFFORT BY B.N.P.

As most readers will know, the British National Party achieved its objective of fielding over 50 candidates in the General Election and winning broadcasting time. This was a truly extraordinary feat in view of the early timing of the election and the fact that the BNP has only been in existence since April of last year.

It was always recognised, from the time the decision to fight over 50 seats was first made, that the party was not likely to score high votes. The present political climate prohibits any strong swing to Nationalist parties, as the results obtained by all such parties in the election proved. The BNP, in addition, fought under the handicap of a hitherto almost unknown party name and very little background electoral activity in the constituencies in which it fought. The early calling of the election did not permit the dispatch of an election address to the voters except in a very limited number of areas. In the event the BNP candidates won 14,611 votes spread over 54 seats, averaging out at 270.5 per seat (or 0.7 per-cent of the poll).

In just 5 areas there was an election address dispatched to nearly every household. In these areas the BNP vote was substantially higher, averaging 429.4 (or 1 per-cent) and indicating the value of an election address as back-up for the candidate where it can be managed.

Some interest will inevitably be focussed on the comparisons between BNP votes and those of the National Front. The latter party has been in existence since 1967 and has the advantage of a nationally well known party name. In many areas where it fought it has been digging its roots for many years by ceaseless electoral activity, the result of which has been to recruit a hard core of voting loyalists similar to the much bigger hard cores possessed by the established parties. With much longer electoral preparation behind it, it was bound to be in a position of greater election readiness than the BNP. As part of this preparation, it was organised to send an election address to each household in **all** the 58 constituencies that it fought. The eventual NF vote averaged out at 457.7 (or 1.1 per-cent), significantly higher than the overall BNP average but only fractionally higher than the average obtained by BNP candidates in areas where they were backed up, as all NF candidates were, with an election address

With all the massive advantage of 16 years' activity in the constituencies and an instantly recognisable party name, this 0.1 per-cent was the best that the Front could manage over the BNP.

Far more important than the votes actually obtained in the 1983 election is the question of the electoral **potential** for the future among Nationalist parties. All factors considered, the BNP performance indicates a much higher potential than does that of the NF. This fact is underlined by the figures indicating the steady decline in the NF vote since the last election. Then, spreading its campaigning strength over no less than **303 electoral areas,** the party obtained over 190,000 votes (an average of 627). In 1983 it obtained 26,546 votes over 58 areas with the average of 457.7 that we have mentioned. Ever since 1979 the NF voting trend has been downwards.

Also much more important is the massive influx of membership enquiries accruing from the BNP election broadcasts on TV and radio. It was essentially in order to obtain this publicity and attract these enquiries that the whole BNP electoral operation was planned. In the days following the broadcasts the BNP office was simply flooded with letters expressing interest from every corner of the United Kingdom — including many from areas where the party had not even set foot before. All these enquirers have been sent information about the party through the post and now a steady flow of membership applications is coming in.

All in all, the party is now poised for a huge step forward in membership growth.

THE HIGHEST VOTES

The highest votes obtained by British National Party candidates in the General Election were as follows: Charles Parker (Walsall South) 632 votes (1.3 per-cent); Terry Fitzgerald (Woolwich) 384 votes (1 per-cent); Mrs. Valerie Tyndall (Hackney South & Shoreditch) 374 votes (1 per-cent); Ray Hill (Leicester East) 469 votes (1 per-cent); John Smith (Broxbourne) 502 votes (1 per-cent).

All these candidates except John Smith had the backing of an election address. John Smith's performance without that backing is especially praiseworthy — and even more so considering that he spent most of his available time assisting in raising the money for and organising other campaigns in North London and Hertfordshire. His was typical of the unselfish attitude of a great many candidates who fought with little backing in their own areas so that the party could make up the total of 50-plus. All these candidates are equally deserving of praise to those who, with the backing of election addresses, obtained the highest votes. Also worthy of commendation is South London Organiser Richard Edmonds who, although backed up with an election address, spent so much of his time helping other candidates that his work in his own constituency, and consequently his vote, inevitably suffered.

Terry Fitzgerald: 384 votes

Please give generously!

The General Election campaign has left BNP National Headquarters very short of funds. Large sums of money were spent in financing the campaign, particularly in the way of subsidising branches too poor to pay for their own candidates, and this has sorely drained central funds.

Eventually these funds will be boosted by the large number of new supporters won by the campaign but that will take time, as this new support will not all come in immediately but over a period of several weeks, even months.

We therefore ask all present party supporters to send in what donations they can to enable Headquarters to continue functioning smoothly in the intervening period and be in a position to pay for the large output of publicity material used during and following the election.

Please make cheques out to British National Party and send them to: Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

New recordings now available

BNP Recordings are pleased to announce two new cassettes which will be available from early in the new year. These are:—

RALLY '82

This recording features speeches made at the British National Party rally in London on October 16th 1982, the theme of which was 'Unite and fight for Britain's future!'.

On side 1 of the cassette are recordings of the speeches by Charles Parker and Ray Hill; on side 2 is the closing speech by John Tyndall.

TYNDALL SPEAKS (II)

This cassette features the 4th and 5th of a series of specially recorded talks by John Tyndall, made in a studio employing Hi-Fi equipment. The talks are:—

Side 1: **The case for nationalism.** In this talk the BNP leader demolishes the most frequently used arguments employed in opposition to the nationalist idea and urges that that idea is now essential to Britain's survival.

Side 2: **Tragedy of the 20th Century.** In this recording Tyndall speaks of World War II and its ruinous consequences for Britain and the West.

Both these talks provide ideal instructional material for educating young people in nationalism.

We also remind everyone of two other recordings still available which have proved very popular. These are:—

VOICES OF NATIONALISM

Side 1 of this cassette features recordings of parts of the speeches made at the Nationalist Unity rally in London on September 5th 1981. Hear John Tyndall, Ray Hill, Kenneth McKilliam, Len Bearsford Walker and others.

Side 2 consists of the third of the series of specially recorded talks by John Tyndall entitled **The coming British revolution.** In this talk Tyndall exposes the fraud of the political system masquerading as 'democracy' and outlines vital changes needed to raise the level of British political leadership.

TYNDALL SPEAKS (I)

The first of two recorded talks of a series by the BNP leader. These are:—

Side 1: **Our Anglo-Saxon heritage.** This talk is about the great world-wide heritage of the Anglo-Saxon-Celtic peoples and calls for their unity.

Side 2: **Britain's economic crisis.** Tyndall talks about the breakdown of the British economy over a long period and calls for a new economic policy based on economic nationalism.

Cassettes now cost £3.50 each — plus 17p for postage. All orders should be sent to: BNP Recordings, Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

SMASH BRITAIN'S RED COUNCILS!

(Contd. from front page)

terrorism, organisations aiming to undermine Britain's defence, militant 'feminist' organisations and indeed Jewish and Zionist groups, who, as will be seen, get GLC handouts which they scarcely need in view of the vast financial power wielded by individuals and organisations within their own community.

NATIONAL SCANDAL

The way in which these councillors are able to get elected and then throw away in these ways the money of those who have elected them is a national scandal. But it also reveals what a farce our political system of 'democracy' is. According to democratic theory, local councillors, like members of parliament, are the representatives and servants of the people who elect them and are supposed to govern in accordance with those people's will. Yet the causes for which this public money is used are detested by all but a small minority of the voters. In fact the councillors get elected on false pretences; none of the GLC voters knew, for instance, that they were electing an extreme left-wing council when they went to the polls; only after a Labour majority had been elected was there a palace revolution within the Labour Party on the council and Ken Livingstone voted in in place of a 'moderate' opponent.

Equally farcical is the way in which the nation's government is obliged to sit back and watch this gross abuse of local government power without doing anything to step in and prevent it. Local government should be subordinate to national government but in Britain today local government apparently can do what it likes without interference from national government, even when it is helping to undermine national security and civil defence, as some councils are, and to aid the nation's enemies, such as the IRA.

We British Nationalists are pledged to end this farce and to institute a system of local government under which it will no longer be possible for councillors to use the people's money in the furtherance of causes opposed by the people, and we intend at the same time to ensure that any abuse of power at local government level will be quickly stopped by the exercise of the proper authority of national government carrying out the mandate given to it by the people — to govern Britain!

WHAT WE STAND FOR

- The return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs.
- A government that will put British interests first.
- British withdrawal from the European Common Market.
- Closer links with the White Commonwealth.
- Protection of British industry by the exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain.
- A sane financial system which will give to the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce.
- The revival of British farming.
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources.
- A clamp-down on social security spongers and the restoration of the incentive to work.
- An end to immigration and a start to repatriation.
- British support of the Whites in South Africa.
- An end to financial aid to black dictatorships.
- The strengthening of Britain's defences.
- Tougher treatment of criminals.
- The smashing of the IRA, the death penalty for terrorists and firm support for the Loyalist majority in Northern Ireland.
- Repeal of the laws permitting Abortion and Homosexuality and a general toughening up of legislation against the promotion of obscenity in the media.
- The elimination of Communism in Britain, in the mass media, in the factory and in the schoolroom.

WRITE TO US

To: British National Party, PO Box 115, Hove, East Sussex BN3 3SB

Tick appropriate box

Please send me more information for which I enclose 20p. ☐

I wish to become a Full Member and enclose £6.00 (Half-rate for students and OAPs) ☐

I wish to become an Associate Member and enclose £3.00 (No half-rate) ☐

Name .....

Address .....

.....

SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *British Nationalist* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £3.45 for 12 issues for subscribers in the British Isles. For subscribers overseas the rate is £4.00 for 12 issues (surface mail). Please make cheques/POs payable to *British Nationalist* and send to *British Nationalist,* PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

An additional charge of £2.00 is required on all foreign cheques.

Name.....

Address .....

.....

OVERSEAS SUBSCRIBERS WELCOMED

YOUNG NATIONALIST

Read *Young Nationalist*, the paper for nationalist youth. Send 20p for sample copy to: 16 Vale Lodge, Perry Vale, London S.E.23.

Read

SPEARHEAD

For an in-depth view of Nationalist policies read *Spearhead,* a 20 page monthly magazine which supports the British National Party. Price 40p. Annual subscription £6.75. 53p for sample copy to: *Spearhead,* PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3WB.

BUY *BRITISH NATIONALIST* IN BULK

British Nationalist retails at 12p from your local seller. Would bulk purchasers please order *only* in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies £1.20 + 33p post
25 copies £2.70 + 95p post
50 copies £4.80 + £1.67 post
100 copies £8.40 + £2.00 post
150 copies £12.00 + £2.20 post
200 copies £15.00 + £2.35 post
300 copies £21.00 + £2.50 post
400 copies £26.40 + £2.80 post
500 copies £32.00) Bulk rates
1,000 copies £62.00) by roadline

Cheques/postal orders to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *British Nationalist* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.

Printed and published by BN Press, London SE23 2LS